Endo-SPONGE®
ENDOLUMINAL VACUUM THERAPY
FOR THE TREATMENT OF ANASTOMOTIC LEAKAGE
ENDOLUMINAL VACUUM THERAPY AS A TREATMENT FOR ANASTOMOTIC LEAKAGES IN THE LOW PELVIC AREA

With the introduction of total mesorectal excision (TME) as the standard treatment for rectal carcinoma, the number of low anterior sphincter-preserving rectal resections has increased with a simultaneous decrease in extirpation. One of the most important complications following anterior rectal resection is anastomotic leakage (1, 2).

Clinically manifest anastomotic leakage occurs in up to 24% of patients (3–5).

Because of the immediate proximity of the sphincter to the anastomosis, there is a permanent tailback of infected secretion and gas into the intestinal lumen and into the pelvis.

Once an anastomotic leakage has occurred, primary inflammation develops in the area of the anastomosis, localized in the minor pelvis. If the secretion continues to rise, generalized peritonitis can result, with severe septic progression involving multiple organ failure and potentially culminating in the death of the patient (6).

Where there is local lower infection of pelvis with an endoscopically accessible cavity, the Endo-SPONGE® treatment can be applied.
ANASTOMOTIC LEAKAGE (AL) IS ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT COMPLICATIONS (7, 8):

- AL rate after colorectal surgery is reported to up to 24% (3-5).
- AL is associated to high morbidity and mortality (8, 9).
- AL increases the rates of permanent stomas (8-10).
- AL increase length of hospital stay of patients (11).
- AL increase hospital costs (12).
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SUGGESTED BENEFITS OF VACUUM THERAPY ON THE TISSUE:
- Increase blood flow and edema reduction (13).
- Granulation tissue formation and extracellular synthesis (13).
- Decrease of bacterial contamination and secretion (22).

Endo-SPONGE® TREATMENT BENEFITS:
- Continuous drainage of the infected wound fluid from the cavity (15).
- Trend to shorter treatment time compared to irrigation (16).
- Treatment median duration is of 20-50 days (15, 17, 20).
- Anastomotic leakage closure is achieved between 67-100% (5, 9, 16-20).
- The treatment works better the sooner it is applied after AL diagnosis (7, 18).
- Ambulatory treatment possible (15, 16, 18-20).
- Good patient acceptance (18-20).
- Reduces hospital stay (8, 18).
- Trend to reduce permanent stomas (increase stomas closure rate) (8, 9).
- There is trend to reduce the number of re-operations (9, 21).
- Could potentially reduce cost of AL treatments (18).
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THERAPY PROCEDURE
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TREATMENT CRITERIA:
- Localized lower infection of the pelvis.
- Endoscopically accessible leakage.
- Sufficient drainage.

Endo-SPONGE® THERAPY PRINCIPLE:
- The open pores of the sponge allow the suction to be transferred evenly over all tissue in contact with the sponge surface.
- Continuous suction and drainage decrease bacterial contamination, secretion and local edema, promoting perfusion and granulation at the same time (22).

Fig. A: Tumour in the colorectal area.
Fig. B: Anastomosis after colorectal surgery.
Fig. C: Last portion of colon and rectum with an anastomosis.
Fig. D: In the event of an anastomosis failure a leakage cavity in the colorectal area is created.
Fig. E: The cavity full of stool creates a localized infection.

Endo-SPONGE® TREATMENT:
- Fig. 1: Asses the cavity with a flexible rectoscope.
- Fig. 2: Cut the sponge to the size of the cavity if necessary.
- Fig. 3: Insert the overtube with the rectoscopy inside, place the overtube at the end of the cavity and withdraw the rectoscope.
- Fig. 4: Push the sponge inside the overtube with the help of the pusher.
- Fig. 5: Use the black mark to control the placement of the sponge at the end of the tube.
- Fig. 6: Keep the sponge in place with the pusher and pull the tube to release it. Remove the overtube and the pusher.
- Fig. 7: The Endo-SPONGE® is placed in the leakage cavity.
- Fig. 8: In case of big cavities up to 3 sponges can be inserted.
- Fig. 9: The Endo-SPONGE® connector tube must be connected to the REDYROB® Trans Plus bottle.
  - Remove the red stopple and plug the two connectors.
  - Select the low vacuum force 1.
- Fig. 10: The vacuum fix the sponge in place and the treatment starts.
- Fig. 11: With the subsequent use of Endo-SPONGE® the cavity is reduced until form a small scar.
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ADVANTAGES OF THE REDYROB® TRANS PLUS BOTTLE:

Advantages of the REDYROB® Trans Plus bottle:
- Patient mobility.
- Luer lock connection.
- Closed system.
- 600 ml filling volume.
- Quantitative vacuum display to read remaining vacuum capacity.
QUANTITATIVE VACUUM DISPLAY
High contrast scale gives precise information on the available vacuum capacity.

VACUUM REGULATOR
Positions 1-2-3 for selecting low, medium or high vacuum drainage. Only low vacuum at level 1 has to be used in combination with Endo-SPONGE®.

PRODUCT AND ORDERING INFORMATION

Endo-SPONGE® kit:
- Endo-SPONGE®.
- Overtube in 2 different sizes.
- Pusher.
- Irrigation set.
- Y-shaped connecting tube with Luer lock attachment on REDYROB® Trans Plus bottle.

5526510 Box of 10 Endo-SPONGE® kits.
5526520 Box of 5 Endo-SPONGE® kits.
5526530 Single Endo-SPONGE® kit.

TO BE ORDERED SEPARATELY:
- 5526604 Box of 10 REDYROB® Trans Plus (adjustable wound drainage system).
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LITERATURE SUMMARY ON THE USE OF VACUUM THERAPY TO TREAT COLORECTAL ANASTOMOSIS LEAKAGES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>PAPER REFERENCE</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>TREATMENT DURATION (DAYS)</th>
<th>NUMBER OF SPONGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Shalaby et al. (9)</td>
<td>Review of 276 patients</td>
<td>47 (40-105)</td>
<td>7 (3.4-13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Jimenez-Rodriguez et al. (18)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22.3 (7.6-37)</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.9 LAR/3.2 ± 1.8 Hartmann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Milioto et al. (8)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35 (16-51)</td>
<td>(3-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Kuehn et al. (22)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>20 (2-131)</td>
<td>6 (1-37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Keskin et al. (23)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>2.2 (1-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Strangio et al. (5)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28 (7-128)</td>
<td>9 (1-39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Gardenbroek et al. (24)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12 (7-15)</td>
<td>3 (2-4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Nerup et al. (16)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18 (3-40)</td>
<td>8 (1-18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Riss et al. (20)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21 (7-106)</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Weidenhagen et al. (15)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>34 (4-79)</td>
<td>11 (1-27)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

THE SUCCESS RATE OF VACUUM THERAPY IS INCREASED WHEN THE TREATMENT IS STARTED EARLY AFTER THE DIAGNOSTIC OF A LEAKAGE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>PAPER REFERENCE</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>EARLY TREATMENT (&lt;WEEKS)</th>
<th>RATE OF HEALING</th>
<th>RATE OF HEALING EARLY TREATMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>van Koperen et al. (25)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6/8 (75%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Arezzo et al. (19)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>9/10 (89%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Borstlap et al. (7)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11/15 (73%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Early after the diagnosis of a leakage:

**Literature summary on the use of vacuum therapy to treat colorectal anastomosis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Paper</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Early Treatment (&lt;WEEKS)</th>
<th>Rate of Healing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Arezzo et al. (19)</td>
<td>14/85.3/10 (89%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>van Koperen et al. (25)</td>
<td>16/6/8 (75%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Weidenhagen et al. (15)</td>
<td>29/34 (4-79) 11 (1-27)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Riss et al. (20)</td>
<td>20/21 (7-106) na</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Nerup et al. (16)</td>
<td>13/18 (3-40) 8 (1-18)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Gardenbroek et al. (24)</td>
<td>15/12 (7-15) 3 (2-4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Strangio et al. (5)</td>
<td>25/28 (7-128) 9 (1-39)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Kuehn et al. (22)</td>
<td>41/20 (2-131) 6 (1-37)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Milito et al. (8)</td>
<td>14/35 (16-51) (3-14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Shalaby et al. (9)</td>
<td>Review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rate of Healing Stoma Closure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Paper</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Early Treatment (&lt;WEEKS)</th>
<th>Rate of Healing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Arezzo et al. (19)</td>
<td>14/85.3/10 (89%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Riss et al. (20)</td>
<td>20/21 (7-106) na</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Nerup et al. (16)</td>
<td>13/18 (3-40) 8 (1-18)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Gardenbroek et al. (24)</td>
<td>15/12 (7-15) 3 (2-4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Strangio et al. (5)</td>
<td>25/28 (7-128) 9 (1-39)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Kuehn et al. (22)</td>
<td>41/20 (2-131) 6 (1-37)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Milito et al. (8)</td>
<td>14/35 (16-51) (3-14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Shalaby et al. (9)</td>
<td>Review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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